HRA AN USIUM The Gazette of India

EXTRAORDINARY

भाग II—खण्ड 3—उप-खण्ड (i)
PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (i)
प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

(t, 169)

नई दिल्ली, सोमवार, अप्रैल 16, 2012/चैत्र 27, 1934

No. 1691

NEW DELHI, MONDAY, APRIL 16, 2012/CHAITRA 27, 1934

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA NOTIFICATION

New Delhi, the 16th April, 2012

GS.R. 295(E).—1. Under Rule 5(i) and (ii) of Order IV, Supreme Court Rules, 1966 (as amended) and Regulation (2) of the Regulations regarding Advocates-on-Record Examination made thereunder governing the Examination for Advocates-on-Record, it is hereby notified for the information of all concerned that the next Examination for the Advocates-on-Record will be held in the Supreme Court Premises, New Delhi on 4th, 5th, 6th and 7th June, 2012.

- 2. All Advocates who will be completing one year's continuous training on or before 30th April, 2012 are eligible to appear for the aforesaid examination.
- 3. Applications should reach the Secretary, Board of Examiners by 3rd May, 2012. The application forms may be obtained from the office of Secretary on any working day during office hours. No application shall be accepted after 3rd May, 2012.
- 4. Acceptance of the application is subject to production of requisite certificate to training from an Advocate-on-Record under Regulation 6 of the Regulations regarding Advocates-on-Record Examination.
- 5. In pursuance of the directions contained in the Order dated October 12, 2011 passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in I.A. No.2 of 2009 in SLP (C) No. 18481 of 2009 titled Vijay Dhanji Chaudhary Vs. Suhas Jayant Natwadkar, and as decided by the Competent Authority, pending the amendment to the Regulations regarding Advocates-on-Record Examination, Paper-III for this year

shall be "Advocacy & Professional Ethics" with maximum marks of 100. Candidates who are desirous of exercising their option to re-appear this year in Paper-III under Regulation 11 (i) or (ii) of the Regulations shall also reappear in the paper "Advocacy & Professional Ethics" with maximum marks of 100.

- 6. A list of leading Cases with regard to Paper-IV 'Leading Cases' is appended to this Notification as Annexure 'A'.
- 7. No application/representation for re-evaluation/re-checking of answer sheets shall be entertained.
- 8. In ensuing examinations those who are given roll numbers and who absent themselves in examination without informing reasonable reasons in writing will be treated as not sufficiently prepared and will be dealt with under Regulation 5 (b) without giving further opportunity and time may be prescribed within which they shall not appear again for examination without prior permission of The Board of Examiners. It has been further decided that all such candidates who remain absent without reasonable cause, may be issued show cause notice to explain why their case shall not be placed before the Board of Examiners for appropriate order.
- 9. The candidates are further informed that the Committee of Hon'ble Judges of the Examination Committee on the recommendation of the Board of Examiners have decided that a candidate who fails in all the papers of Advocate-on-Record Examination held in an year shall not be permitted to appear in the ensuing examination. It was further decided that a candidate may be allowed five chances to appear at the examination,

and those who do not qualify in the desired five chances given, they shall not be permitted to appear in any further examination.

[F. No. AOR Exam/June/2012] SUNIL THOMAS, Registrar & Secy. Board of Examiners Annexure 'A'

13.12.05

REVISED LIST OF LEADING CASES

- /1. The State of West Bengal vs. Anwar Ali Sarkar (1952) SCR 284
- ¹2. The Commissioner, Hindu Religious Endowments, Madras vs. Sri Lakshmindra Tirtha Swamiar of Sri Shirur Mutt (1954) SCR 1005
- 3A. In Re: The Keraia Education Bill, 1957. Reference under Article 143 (1) of the Constitution of India (1959) SCR 995
- 3B. TMA Pai Foundation vs. State of Karnataka (2002) Suppl. 3 SCR 587
- 3C. PA Inamdar vs. State of Maharashtra (2005) 6 SCC 537
- 4A Pandit M.S.M. Sharma vs. Shree Krishna Sinha & Ors. (1959) Suppl. 1SCR 806.
- 4B. People's Union for Civil Liberties (PUCL) & Anr. vs. Union of India & Anr. (2003) 2 SCR 1136
- The Automobile Transport (Rajasthan) Ltd. vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors. (& connected appeals) 1963(1) SCR 491
- State Trading Corporation of India vs. The Commercial Tax Officer Visakhapatnam (1964) 4 SCR 99
- In Re: Keshav Singh (Special Reference No.1 of 1964.) (1965) 1 SCR 413
- Naresh Shridhar Mirajkar vs. State of Maharashtra (1966) 3 SCR 744
- 9. Golaknath vs. State of Punjab (1967) 2 SCR 762
- Rustom Cowasjee Cooper vs. Union, of India (1970) 3 SCR 530
- 11. H. H. Maharajadhiraja Madhav Rao Jiwaji Rao vs. Union of India (1971) 3 SCR 9
- 12A. Keshavananda Bharali vs. State of Kerala (1973) Supp SCR I
- 12B. S.R. Bommai vs. Union of India (1994) 2 SCR 644
- 12C. L. Chandra Kumar vs. Union of India (1997) 2 SCR 1186
- 12D. Indira Gandhi vs. Raj Narain (1976) 2 SCR 347
- 12E. Minerva Mills vs. Union of India (1981) 1 SCR 206
- 12F. Waman Rao vs. Union of Indla (1981) 2 SCR.1
- 13. Samsher Singh vs. State of Punjab (1975) 4 SCR 814

- ADM Jabalpur vs. S. Shukla (1976) Suppl. SCR 172
- 15. R.S. Joshi vs. Ajit Mills (1978) 1 SCR 338
- Trustees for the Improvement of Calcutta vs. Chandrasekhar Mallick (1978) 1 SCR 136
- Bangalore Water Supply & Sewerage Board vs.
 A. Rajappa (1978) 3 SCR 207
- Madan Mohan Pathak vs. Union of India (1978)
 SCR 334
- State of Karanataka vs. Union of India (1978) 2
 SCR I
- 20. Maneka Gandhi vs. Union of India (1978) 2 SCR 621
- 21. In Re: The Special Courts Bill, 1978 (1979) 2 SCR 476
- 22. S.P. Gupta vs. Union of India (1982) 2 SCR 365
- M C Mehta & Another vs. Union of India & Ors. (1987) 1 SCR 319
- 24A. A.R. Antulay vs. R.S. Nayak (1988) Suppl. 1 SCR 1
- 24B. Rupa Ashok Hurra vs. Ashok Hurra & Anr. (2002) 2 SCR 1006
 - 25. Synthetics & Chemicals Ltd. vs. State of U.P. (1989) Suppl. 1SCR 623
 - Indira Sawhney vs. Union of India (1992) Suppl.
 SCR 454
- 27. Supreme Court Advocates-on-Record Asso. vs. Union of India (1993) Suppl. 2 SCR 659
- 28. Mafatlal Industries Vs. Union of India (1996)
 Suppl. SCR 585
- 29. New Delhi Municipal Council vs. State of Punjab etc. etc. (1996) Suppl. 10 SCR 472
- Vishaka & Ors. vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors. (1997)
 Suppl. 3 SCR 404
- 31. Supreme Court Bar Association vs. Union of India (1998) 2 SCR 795
- 32. P.V. Narasimha Rao etc. etc. vs. State (CBI/SPE) etc. etc. (1998) 2 SCR 870
- 33. Special Reference No: I of 1998 (1998) Suppl. 2 SCR 400
- Dr. Preeti Srivastava & Anr. etc. etc. vs. The State of Madhya Pradesh & Ors. (1999) Suppl. 1SCR 249
- 35. State of Maharashtra vs. Milind & Ors. (2000) Suppl. 5 SCR 65
- 36. E.S. Rajaram & Ors. vs. Union of India & Ors. (2001) 1 SCR 203
- 37. M/s. Somaiya Organics (India) Ltd. vs. State of U.P. & Anr. (2001) 3 SCR 33.

v

- 38. B.R. Kapur vs. State of Tamil Nadu & Anr. (2001) Suppl. 3 SCR 191
- 39. In Re: Arundhati Roy Contemnor (2002) 2 SCR 213
- 40. P. Rama Chandra Rao vs. State of Karnataka (2002) 3 SCR 60
- 41. Pradeep Kumar Biswas & Ors. vs. Indian Institute of Chemical Biology & Ors. (2002) 3 SCR 100
- 42. Special Reference No.1 of 2002 (2002) Suppl.3 SCR 366
- 43. The State of West Bengal & Ors. vs. Kesoram Industries Ltd. & Ors., (2004) I SCR 564

- 44. Standard Chartered Bank & Ors. vs. Directorate of Enforcement & Ors. (2005) 4 SCC 530
- 45. State of Gujarat vs. Mirzapur Moti Kureshi Kassab Jamat & Ors. (2005) 8 SCC 534
- 46. S.B.P. & Co. vs. Patel Engineering Ltd. &.Anr. SCC (2005) 8 SCC 618

Note (for item Nos. J.2E & 12F): The correctness of these judgments have been referred to a larger Bench preferably of nine Judges in I.R. Coelho (Dead) by L. Rs etc. vs. State of Tamil Nadu etc. (1999) Suppl. 2 SCR 394.

Note (for Item No.17): The correctness of the interpretation of the word "industry" in this case stands referred to a larger Bench in State of U.P. vs Jai Bir Singh (2005) SCC 1.